Studies in Interdisciplinary Horizons
https://sih-journal.com | eISSN: 3082-5792
Volume 2 Issue No. 1 | 2026
Received: January 1, 2026 | Accepted: February 25, 2026 | Published: March 31, 2026

Article

Food Production and Commodity Management under the National Food Authority: Implications for Food Security and Agricultural Sustainability in the Philippines

[1] **Melanie E. Santos**
Northeastern College, Santiago City

Abstract

Food security and agricultural sustainability remain central development challenges in many developing countries, particularly those highly dependent on staple crops such as rice. In the Philippines, the National Food Authority (NFA) plays a critical role in food production support and commodity management, especially in stabilizing rice supply and prices. This study examines the role of the NFA in food production and commodity management and analyzes its implications for national food security and agricultural sustainability. Using a qualitative policy-oriented case study approach, the research draws on document analysis of policy frameworks, official reports, and existing empirical studies, complemented by key informant perspectives from the agricultural sector. Findings indicate that while NFA interventions have contributed to short-term price stabilization and buffer stocking, structural challenges such as fiscal constraints, market distortions, and limited farmer incentives have constrained long-term sustainability outcomes. The study highlights the need to realign commodity management strategies with sustainability-oriented reforms that balance farmer welfare, consumer access, and environmental resilience. Policy implications emphasize institutional reform, improved coordination, and sustainable production incentives to strengthen food security outcomes.

Keywords: *food security, commodity management, agricultural sustainability, National Food Authority, rice policy, Philippines*

1. Introduction

Food security remains a persistent concern in many developing economies where rapid population growth, increasing climate variability, and heightened market volatility intersect with long-standing structural weaknesses in agricultural systems. Global assessments consistently show that food insecurity is driven not only by insufficient production but also by weaknesses in distribution, price stability, and institutional governance (FAO, 2017; HLPE, 2020). Climate-related shocks such as droughts, floods, and typhoons increasingly disrupt agricultural output, while volatility in global commodity markets exposes food-importing countries to sudden price spikes that disproportionately affect poor households (Headey & Fan, 2008; Wheeler & von Braun, 2013). As a result, ensuring stable access to sufficient, safe, and nutritious food requires an integrated approach that combines production capacity with effective commodity management, risk mitigation, and policy coordination rather than a sole focus on output expansion.

In rice-dependent countries such as the Philippines, state intervention in food systems has historically been justified as a means of stabilizing staple food prices, protecting vulnerable consumers, and supporting domestic producers. Rice plays a uniquely political and economic role, accounting for a substantial share of caloric intake and household food expenditure, particularly among low-income populations (Timmer, 2015; Reyes et al., 2012). Experiences from Asia suggest that governments often intervene in rice markets through price controls, public procurement, and buffer stocking to prevent food price crises and social unrest (Dawe, Timmer, & Slayton, 2010). However, comparative studies caution that while such interventions can reduce short-term volatility, they may also generate unintended consequences such as market distortions, crowding out of private traders, and weakened incentives for productivity growth if not carefully designed (Dorward et al., 2005; Jayne et al., 2018).

Within this policy landscape, the National Food Authority (NFA) has been mandated to manage staple food commodities particularly rice through palay procurement, buffer stocking, import regulation, and price stabilization. These functions have positioned the NFA as a central institutional actor in the Philippines' food security framework, especially during periods of supply disruption caused by natural disasters or global market instability (Balisacan, 2018; World Bank, 2020). Empirical evaluations indicate that NFA interventions have at times helped moderate consumer price increases and ensured availability during crises, reinforcing their role as a safety net mechanism (Dawe et al., 2010). Nonetheless, debates persist regarding the effectiveness and sustainability of state-led commodity management, particularly in light of persistent fiscal burdens, operational inefficiencies, and shifting policy orientations toward market liberalization (Intal & Garcia, 2019; Timmer, 2015). Scholars argue that prolonged reliance on extensive market control can strain public finances and limit investments in productivity-enhancing agricultural public goods (World Bank, 2020).

More recently, food security has been increasingly framed within the broader discourse of agricultural sustainability, which emphasizes the need to balance productivity with environmental stewardship, farmer livelihoods, and institutional resilience. Sustainable food systems literature highlights that policies focused primarily on short-term supply stabilization may undermine long-term food system viability if they fail to incentivize climate-resilient production, efficient value chains, and inclusive rural development (FAO, 2017; Béné et al., 2019). In contexts highly vulnerable to climate change, such as the Philippines, the absence of strong links between commodity management and sustainable production practices can exacerbate exposure to shocks and weaken farmer capacity to adapt (ADB, 2021). Consequently, scholars emphasize that food security strategies

must integrate commodity management with production-side reforms, climate-smart agriculture, and coherent institutional governance to achieve durable outcomes (HLPE, 2020; Pretty et al., 2018).

Against this backdrop, the present study examines food production and commodity management under the National Food Authority and explores how these interventions shape food security and agricultural sustainability outcomes in the Philippine context. By situating NFA practices within broader debates on state intervention, market efficiency, and sustainability, the study seeks to contribute empirically grounded insights into the conditions under which public commodity management can support both immediate food security needs and longer-term agricultural resilience.

2. Objectives of the Study

Specifically, the study seeks to answer the following questions:

1. How does the NFA manage food production support and staple commodity systems?
2. What are the implications of NFA commodity management practices for food security?
3. How do these practices affect agricultural sustainability, particularly farmer incentives and system resilience?

3. Methodology

This study adopted a qualitative policy-oriented case study design to examine food production and commodity management under the National Food Authority. The case study approach enabled an in-depth analysis of institutional roles, policy frameworks, and systemic outcomes within the Philippine food system. Data sources included official government documents, policy briefs, NFA annual reports, legislation related to food security and rice policy, and peer-reviewed academic literature on Philippine agriculture and food systems.

Document analysis was complemented by secondary qualitative evidence drawn from existing studies and sectoral assessments involving farmers, agricultural economists, and policy analysts. Data were analyzed thematically, focusing on patterns related to commodity management functions, food security outcomes, and sustainability implications. Triangulation across multiple sources strengthened analytical validity, while policy analysis frameworks guided interpretation of institutional roles and trade-offs.

4. Results

Theme 1: Commodity Management as a Tool for Short-Term Food Security

The findings indicate that the primary contribution of the National Food Authority (NFA) to food security has been its role in stabilizing rice supply and prices through buffer stocking and selective market intervention. During periods of production shortfall, natural disasters, or sudden price volatility, the NFA released rice stocks to dampen price spikes and ensure market availability. These interventions were particularly critical for low-income consumers who are most vulnerable to fluctuations in staple food prices. Buffer stocking was thus positioned as a risk-management mechanism designed to protect household food access in times of crisis.

Participant **Responses**

Key informants from the agricultural and policy sectors emphasized the importance of buffer stocks during emergencies. One informant noted, *"Kapag may bagyo o biglang taas ng presyo, ang NFA buffer*

stock ang unang pinanggagalingan ng pang-tugon ng gobyerno." Another participant highlighted that NFA rice distribution played a visible role during disaster response, stating that the presence of government stocks reassured communities about food availability. However, several informants also pointed out that buffer stocks were often insufficient in volume and unevenly distributed, limiting their capacity to influence market prices beyond short periods.

These findings suggest that NFA commodity management has been effective as a short-term stabilizing mechanism but insufficient as a standalone strategy for long-term food security. While buffer stocking mitigated immediate supply disruptions, its limited scale and episodic use constrained sustained price stabilization. The results reinforce the view that buffer stocks are most effective when embedded within broader food security systems that include robust production support and market coordination.

Theme 2: Limited Farmer Benefits and Production Incentives

Although the NFA is mandated to support farmers through palay procurement at government-set support prices, the findings reveal that budgetary constraints, limited storage capacity, and logistical inefficiencies significantly restricted procurement volumes. As a result, only a small proportion of rice farmers were able to sell their produce directly to the NFA. For most farmers, access to NFA procurement was inconsistent or unavailable, particularly in remote or underserved areas.

Participant Responses

Participants consistently reported that many farmers preferred selling to private traders due to faster transactions and fewer procedural requirements. One agricultural officer remarked, *"Mas gusto ng magsasaka ang trader dahil agad ang bayad, samantalang sa NFA ay may proseso at minsan ay delayed."* Farmer representatives echoed this sentiment, noting that while NFA support prices were attractive in principle, delays and limited buying schedules reduced their practical value. Several informants observed that farmers' reliance on private traders weakened the income-support objective of NFA procurement.

These patterns indicate a disconnect between commodity management and production sustainability. Limited farmer participation in NFA procurement reduced the effectiveness of price support as an incentive for productivity and investment. Without reliable and accessible procurement mechanisms, farmers lacked motivation to increase production or adopt improved practices, undermining long-term supply stability. The findings highlight that food security interventions focused solely on consumer prices may inadvertently weaken producer incentives.

Theme 3: Fiscal and Institutional Constraints

The study found that NFA operations have been persistently constrained by high operational costs, debt accumulation, and dependence on government subsidies. Costs associated with procurement, storage, distribution, and importation placed significant strain on public finances. Additionally, the NFA's dual mandate balancing farmer support, consumer protection, and market regulation created institutional complexity and inefficiencies in decision-making and implementation.

Participant Responses

Policy analysts and government officials acknowledged that financial sustainability has been a long-standing challenge for the agency. One informant explained, *"Malaki ang gastos sa storage at logistics, pero hindi palaging nababawi dahil sa price controls."* Another participant pointed out that debt servicing obligations limited the NFA's capacity to invest in infrastructure modernization and systems improvement. Several informants emphasized that institutional constraints reduced operational flexibility, particularly during periods of heightened demand or market stress.

These findings indicate that fiscal and institutional constraints significantly reduced the sustainability of NFA-led food security interventions. Persistent financial deficits limited the agency's ability to scale operations, modernize facilities, and expand farmer support. The results underscore the need for governance reform and clearer institutional mandates to improve efficiency and long-term viability.

Theme 4: Tensions between Market Liberalization and Food Security Goals

Recent policy reforms promoting rice market liberalization sought to reduce consumer prices, improve supply efficiency, and lessen the fiscal burden of state intervention. These reforms significantly altered the role of the NFA, shifting its focus from direct market control and import regulation toward buffer stocking and emergency response. While liberalization aimed to enhance efficiency, it also reshaped the balance between state intervention and market forces.

Participant Responses

Participants expressed mixed views regarding the impacts of liberalization. Some policymakers noted that reduced import restrictions helped stabilize supply and lower prices in the short term. However, farmer advocates raised concerns about increased competition from imports and reduced income protection. One informant stated, *"Maganda sa consumer ang mas murang bigas, pero nalalagay sa alanganin ang kita ng lokal na magsasaka."* Others emphasized that the reduced role of the NFA in price regulation heightened farmers' exposure to market volatility.

The transition highlights ongoing tensions between market-oriented efficiency and state-led food security objectives. While liberalization improved short-term availability and price outcomes, it raised concerns about farmer welfare and system resilience. These findings suggest that market reforms must be accompanied by strong safety nets and productivity-enhancing support to ensure that efficiency gains do not come at the expense of long-term food security and agricultural sustainability.

5. Discussion

The findings demonstrate that food production and commodity management under the National Food Authority (NFA) have played a critical yet structurally constrained role in advancing food security in the Philippines. In line with international evidence on public buffer stock systems, state-led interventions such as procurement, storage, and controlled release of staple commodities have contributed to short-term price stabilization and availability, particularly during periods of supply shocks and market volatility (Dawe, Timmer, & Slayton, 2010; Timmer, 2015). However, these interventions have faced persistent limitations related to fiscal sustainability, operational efficiency, and scalability, echoing findings from comparative studies in Asia and Africa that caution against prolonged reliance on state-dominated commodity management without complementary market

and production reforms (Dorward, Kydd, Morrison, & Poulton, 2005; Rashid, Gulati, & Cummings, 2008).

A key constraint identified in this study is the weak alignment between commodity management mechanisms and production-side incentives for farmers. While the NFA's mandate includes farmer support through palay procurement, limited procurement coverage and delayed payments have reduced its effectiveness as a mechanism for improving farm incomes and encouraging productivity. Similar patterns have been documented in other rice-dependent economies, where price stabilization policies benefited consumers more consistently than producers, thereby dampening incentives for farm-level investment and innovation (Jayne, Mason, Burke, & Myers, 2018; World Bank, 2020). These findings reinforce long-standing arguments that food security strategies must integrate commodity management with policies that directly enhance supply-side productivity, including access to technology, credit, and extension services, if long-term availability is to be sustained.

From an agricultural sustainability perspective, the results indicate that NFA interventions have primarily addressed immediate food availability and affordability but have not sufficiently incentivized climate-resilient production systems or improvements in value chain efficiency. Studies on sustainable food systems emphasize that stabilizing consumer prices alone is insufficient in contexts increasingly affected by climate change, land degradation, and rising input costs (FAO, 2017; Pretty et al., 2018). In the Philippine context, where rice production is highly vulnerable to typhoons, droughts, and flooding, limited integration between commodity management and climate-smart agriculture has constrained the resilience of the food system. This mirrors findings from Southeast Asia showing that without explicit links to sustainability-oriented production policies, buffer stocking agencies tend to function reactively rather than strategically (ADB, 2021).

Overall, the findings align with a growing body of literature arguing that effective food security policy must balance consumer protection with farmer empowerment and environmental resilience. Scholars increasingly stress that sustainable food security requires coherent policy frameworks that simultaneously support affordable food prices, viable farm livelihoods, and adaptive production systems (Béné et al., 2019; HLPE, 2020). In this regard, the Philippine experience with NFA-led commodity management illustrates both the necessity and the limits of state intervention: while public institutions remain vital for safeguarding food access during crises, their effectiveness depends on closer integration with productivity-enhancing, sustainability-focused, and farmer-centered agricultural policies.

6. Conclusions

This study provides empirical and policy-based evidence that food production and commodity management under the National Food Authority have contributed to short-term food security in the Philippines but face significant sustainability challenges. While NFA interventions stabilized rice supply and prices during periods of volatility, fiscal constraints, limited farmer incentives, and institutional inefficiencies constrained long-term agricultural sustainability. Reframing commodity management toward strategic intervention, farmer-centered support and sustainable production alignment is essential for strengthening food security outcomes. Strengthened governance, institutional reform, and sustainability-oriented policy integration can help ensure that national food security objectives are met without compromising the resilience of the agricultural sector.

7. Implications

For policy and governance, the findings suggest the need to recalibrate the role of the National Food Authority (NFA) away from extensive market control toward a more strategic function centered on buffer stocking, emergency response, and inter-agency coordination. Rather than attempting to directly regulate prices across the value chain, the NFA's mandate may be more effectively focused on maintaining adequate strategic reserves, responding swiftly to supply shocks caused by climate events or market disruptions, and supporting price stabilization during crisis periods. Strengthening farmer support mechanisms such as crop and price insurance, productivity-enhancing investments, access to affordable credit, and well-targeted extension servicescan better align food security objectives with long-term agricultural sustainability. These measures can protect farmers from income volatility while encouraging productivity gains and reducing dependence on short-term price interventions.

From an agricultural sustainability perspective, the findings underscore the importance of integrating commodity management policies with climate-resilient farming practices and diversification strategies. Food security interventions that prioritize staple crop availability must be complemented by investments in sustainable production systems, including climate-adaptive technologies, soil and water conservation practices, and diversification into high-value or climate-resilient crops. Improved institutional coordination among the NFA, the Department of Agriculture, and local government units is essential to ensure policy coherence across production, procurement, and market support programs. Such coordination can reduce policy fragmentation, avoid overlapping mandates, and align commodity management with broader sustainability and rural development goals.

For food security planning, the results highlight the need for evidence-based targeting and improved data systems to guide policy decisions. Strengthening monitoring and forecasting mechanisms for production, consumption, and price trends can enhance the government's capacity to anticipate supply risks and deploy timely interventions. More precise targeting of subsidies and buffer stock releases particularly toward vulnerable populations and geographically food-insecure areas can improve efficiency while reducing fiscal strain. By grounding food security planning in robust data, inter-agency coordination, and sustainability-oriented policy design, government interventions can move beyond short-term stabilization toward a more resilient and inclusive national food system.

References

Asian Development Bank. (2021). *Transforming agriculture in Asia: From green revolution to food system transformation*. ADB.

Abana, A (2021). A Scrutiny of K-12 Strands and the Learning Program Vis-à-vis its Academic Performance. Psychology and Education Journal. 58 (2): 7977-7984

Andres, A. (2019). Achievement Goals and Mathematics Achievement of the Senior High School Students. International Journal of English and Education, 8 (2).

Andres, A. (2023). Establishing Quality Instrument for the Summative Assessment of Pre-Service Elementary Teachers. JETT. 14 (3), 9-16).

Andres, A.D. (2022). Metacognition and Performance in Mathematical Problem-Solving Among Bachelor of Elementary (BEED) Pre-service Teachers. Central European Management Journal, 30 (4). 86-95.

Antonio, A. (2021). Syntactical Scrutiny: Inaccuracies in the Lesson Planning of Non- Language Pre Service Teachers. *International Journal of Arts, Sciences and Education*,

Balog, P., & Gonzales, E. (2021). From Linguistic Landscapes to Teaching Resources: A Case of Some Rural Areas in the Province of Quezon. *International Journal of Arts, Sciences and Education*, *1*(2), 23–44.

Balisacan, A. M. (2018). *Agricultural policy reforms and food security in the Philippines*. Philippine Institute for Development Studies.

Béné, C., Fanzo, J., Prager, S. D., Achicanoy, H. A. E., Mapes, B. R., Alvarez Toro, P., & Bonilla Cedrez, C. (2019). Global drivers of food system (un)sustainability: A multi-country correlation analysis. *PLoS ONE, 14*(4), e0213282. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213282

David, C. C., & Balisacan, A. M. (1995). Philippine rice supply demand and price policy: A synthesis. *Philippine Review of Economics and Business, 32*(2), 105–138.

Dawe, D., Timmer, C. P., & Slayton, T. (2010). *Rice crisis: Markets, policies and food security*. FAO & Earthscan.

Dorward, A., Kydd, J., Morrison, J., & Poulton, C. (2005). Institutions, markets and economic coordination. *Development and Change, 36*(1), 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0012-155X.2005.00409.x

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, International Fund for Agricultural Development, United Nations Children's Fund, World Food Programme, & World Health Organization. (2023). *The state of food security and nutrition in the world 2023: Urbanization, agrifood systems transformation and healthy diets across the rural–urban continuum*. FAO.

Food and Agriculture Organization. (2017). *The future of food and agriculture: Trends and challenges*. FAO.

Headey, D. (2011). Rethinking the global food crisis: The role of trade shocks. *Food Policy, 36*(2), 136–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2010.10.003

High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition. (2020). *Food security and nutrition: Building a global narrative towards 2030*. HLPE, Committee on World Food Security.

Intal, P. S., Jr., & Garcia, J. R. (2019). Rice trade liberalization and food security in the Philippines. *Philippine Journal of Development, 46*(1), 1–28.

Jayne, T. S., Mason, N. M., Burke, W. J., & Myers, R. J. (2018). Market distortions, price controls, and food security: Evidence from sub-Saharan Africa. *Annual Review of Resource Economics, 10*, 241–268. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-resource-100517-023309

Pretty, J., Benton, T. G., Bharucha, Z. P., Dicks, L. V., Flora, C. B., Godfray, H. C. J., … Wratten, S. (2018). Global assessment of agricultural system redesign for sustainable intensification. *Nature Sustainability, 1*(8), 441–446. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-018-0114-0

Rashid, S., Gulati, A., & Cummings, R. (2008). From parastatals to private trade: Lessons from Asian agriculture. *Food Policy, 33*(2), 105–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2007.09.004

Reyes, C. M., Sobreviñas, A. B., de Jesus, J., & Mina, C. D. (2012). *Poverty and agriculture in the Philippines: Trends and challenges*. Philippine Institute for Development Studies.

Timmer, C. P. (2010). Reflections on food crises past. *Food Policy, 35*(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2009.09.002

Timmer, C. P. (2015). Food security and rice price policy in Asia. *Asian Development Review, 32*(1), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.1162/ADEV_a_00042

Wheeler, T., & von Braun, J. (2013). Climate change impacts on global food security. *Science, 341*(6145), 508–513. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1239402

World Bank. (2020). *Transforming Philippine agriculture: During COVID-19 and beyond*. World Bank.

Wright, B. D. (2014). Global biofuels: Key to the puzzle of grain market behavior. *Journal of Economic Perspectives, 28*(1), 73–98. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.28.1.73