



## Article

# A Case Study of Learner Information System-Supported Instruction and Teacher Coordination in a Rural Public Elementary School in Ifugao, Philippines

<sup>1</sup> **Gina L. Habbiling**

Northeastern College, Santiago City

Eliseo C. Ronquillo Sr. Memorial National High School

Correspondence: [reynon.panginen@deped.gov.ph](mailto:reynon.panginen@deped.gov.ph)

### Abstract

*Learner Information Systems (LIS) have become central to data-informed decision-making in basic education, yet their pedagogical integration in rural school contexts remains underexplored. This qualitative case study examined how LIS supports instructional practices and teacher coordination in a rural public elementary school in Ifugao, Philippines. Guided by data-informed pedagogy and instructional leadership frameworks, the study focused on the experiences and practices of a teacher serving as school coordinator. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, document analysis, and classroom-related records, and were analyzed thematically. Findings reveal that LIS-supported instruction enhanced learner profiling, instructional planning, and monitoring of learner progress. Teacher coordination was strengthened through shared data interpretation, collaborative planning, and targeted interventions for at-risk learners. However, challenges related to limited infrastructure, time constraints, and data literacy were also identified. The study concludes that when LIS is framed as a pedagogical tool rather than a purely administrative system, it contributes meaningfully to instructional coherence and learner-centered teaching. Implications are discussed for teachers, school leaders, and policymakers seeking to strengthen data-informed pedagogy in rural basic education settings.*

**Keywords:** learner information system, data-informed pedagogy, teacher coordination, rural education, instructional leadership



## **1. Introduction**

The integration of digital systems in basic education has transformed how schools manage learner information, monitor progress, and support instructional decision-making. In the Philippine context, the Learner Information System (LIS) functions as a centralized platform for collecting and managing learner data, including enrollment, attendance, and basic academic information. While LIS is widely implemented for administrative compliance, its pedagogical potential particularly in rural schools remains insufficiently examined.

Rural schools face unique instructional challenges, including multigrade teaching, limited resources, and diverse learner needs. In such contexts, the strategic use of learner data may support more responsive and coordinated teaching practices. Studies on data-informed instruction emphasize that when teachers engage meaningfully with learner data, instructional planning becomes more targeted, equitable, and learner-centered (Datnow & Park, 2018; Mandinach & Schildkamp, 2021). However, effective use of data depends on teacher leadership, coordination, and pedagogical framing.

This study addresses the gap by examining how LIS is utilized beyond compliance—as a pedagogical support system for instruction and teacher coordination—in a rural public elementary school in Ifugao, Philippines.

## **2. 2. Review of Related Literature**

### **2.1 Learner Information Systems and Data-Informed Pedagogy**

Learner information systems are designed to centralize and organize learner-related data, enabling schools to track enrollment, attendance, and performance trends. International research indicates that data systems contribute to improved instructional decision-making when teachers interpret and apply data in classroom contexts (Mandinach, 2012; Schildkamp et al., 2019). Data-informed pedagogy emphasizes the use of learner data to diagnose learning needs, differentiate instruction, and monitor progress over time.

### **2.2 Teacher Leadership and Instructional Coordination**

Teacher leadership plays a critical role in translating data into practice. Coordinated instructional planning, shared analysis of learner data, and collaborative monitoring are associated with improved instructional coherence (Spillane, 2006; Hallinger, 2011). In elementary schools, senior teachers often serve as instructional anchors, guiding peers in aligning teaching strategies with learner needs.

### **2.3 Rural School Contexts and Pedagogical Challenges**

Rural schools frequently encounter structural constraints that affect teaching quality, including limited access to professional development and technological resources (Azano & Stewart, 2016). Despite these constraints, research shows that contextually responsive leadership and localized pedagogical strategies can mitigate inequities and improve learning outcomes (Mulford, 2013).

## **3. Methodology**

This study employed a qualitative case study research design to enable an in-depth examination of Learner Information System (LIS)-supported instructional practices within a real-life rural school context. The case study approach was deemed appropriate as it allowed for a holistic and

contextualized understanding of how learner data were interpreted and applied pedagogically, particularly in relation to teacher coordination and instructional decision-making.

The research was conducted in a rural public elementary school located in Ifugao, Philippines. The primary participant was a Teacher III who served as a school-level coordinator and played a central role in instructional planning, learner monitoring, and data management. The participant's leadership position and direct involvement in both pedagogical and administrative functions provided rich insights into the integration of LIS in everyday instructional practices.

Data were collected using multiple qualitative sources to ensure depth and triangulation. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with the Teacher VI to capture lived experiences, perspectives, and instructional practices related to LIS use. In addition, LIS-related documents and learner records were analyzed to understand how learner data informed instructional decisions. Instructional planning documents and coordination notes were also reviewed to examine how data-supported practices were embedded in collaborative planning and monitoring activities.

Data analysis followed a thematic analysis process involving systematic coding, categorization, and theme development. Patterns and meanings were identified across data sources to generate coherent themes that explained the pedagogical use of LIS and its influence on teacher coordination. To ensure trustworthiness and analytical rigor, themes were continuously refined through comparison across data sources and reflective analysis, allowing for credible and well-supported interpretations of the findings.

#### **4. Results and Findings**

The analysis of interview data and school documents revealed four interrelated themes describing how the Learner Information System (LIS) supported instruction and teacher coordination in the rural public elementary school. These themes capture both the pedagogical value of LIS and the contextual realities influencing its use.

##### **Theme 1: Learner Profiling as a Foundation for Instructional Decision-Making**

The participant consistently described LIS as a primary source of learner information that informed instructional decisions. Through enrollment records, attendance histories, and basic learner profiles, the teacher-coordinator was able to identify learners requiring academic support and closer monitoring. One participant response emphasized, *"Sa LIS ko unang tinitingnan kung sino ang madalas lumiban at kung aling mga bata ang may problema sa pagpasok sa klase."* Another response noted, *"Malaking tulong ang LIS kasi malinaw kung sino ang transferee, balik-aral, at kung sino ang nangangailangan ng follow-up."*

These responses indicate that LIS enabled systematic learner profiling, allowing teachers to move beyond general classroom observations toward data-based identification of learning risks. The use of LIS supported early recognition of attendance-related and background-related factors affecting learning.

This finding reflects diagnostic data use, where learner information is employed to understand learning contexts and constraints. Consistent with Mandinach and Schildkamp (2021), learner data becomes instructionally valuable when it informs early intervention and proactive instructional planning rather than retrospective reporting.

## **Theme 2: Data-Informed Instructional Planning and Differentiation**

The participant reported that LIS data directly influenced lesson preparation and instructional pacing. Knowledge of learners' attendance patterns and background information prompted adjustments in lesson sequencing and the inclusion of remediation activities. One response stated, *"Kapag alam namin na maraming absences ang bata, hindi agad kami nag-eexpect ng pare-parehong output sa klase."* Another response explained, *"Ginagamit ko ang datos para magplano ng remedial work at dagdag na gabay sa mga nahuhuli."*

These statements suggest that LIS-supported instruction encouraged differentiated pedagogical approaches. Teachers adapted content delivery and expectations based on learner data, particularly for those with inconsistent attendance or learning gaps. The findings align with data-informed pedagogy literature emphasizing that effective data use enhances instructional responsiveness and equity (Datnow & Park, 2018). LIS functioned as a pedagogical reference that supported learner-centered planning rather than uniform instruction.

## **Theme 3: Strengthened Teacher Coordination through Shared Data Use**

LIS also played a significant role in facilitating teacher coordination. The participant described how learner data served as a shared basis for discussion during planning and coordination activities. One response highlighted, *"Mas madali ang usapan ng mga guro kapag may datos kaming pinagbabasehan."* Another response added, *"Kapag pare-pareho naming nakikita ang datos ng bata, mas nagkakaisa kami sa desisyon kung anong intervention ang gagawin."*

These responses indicate that LIS supported collective sense-making among teachers. Rather than working in isolation, teachers used shared learner data to align instructional strategies and interventions.

This theme reflects distributed instructional leadership, where responsibility for learner progress is shared among teachers (Spillane, 2006). The use of LIS strengthened instructional coherence by anchoring coordination in common evidence rather than individual judgment alone.

## **Theme 4: Contextual Constraints in the Pedagogical Use of LIS**

Despite the pedagogical benefits, the participant identified constraints that limited consistent and deep use of LIS. One response revealed, *"Minsan mas inuuna ang encoding at compliance kaysa sa paggamit ng datos sa pagtuturo."* Another response explained, *"Hindi lahat ng guro ay sanay mag-interpret ng datos kaya kailangan pa ng gabay at oras."*

These responses point to structural and capacity-related challenges, including time pressures, administrative demands, and uneven data literacy among teachers. Such constraints affected how consistently LIS could be integrated into instructional practice.

This finding reinforces research on rural schooling that emphasizes the need for institutional support and professional development to maximize the pedagogical use of data systems (Azano & Stewart, 2016). Without such support, LIS risks remaining primarily an administrative tool rather than a driver of instructional improvement.

## **5. Discussion**

The findings of this study demonstrate that the Learner Information System (LIS), when intentionally framed as a pedagogical rather than purely administrative tool, can support instructional coherence and learner-centered teaching even in resource-constrained rural school settings. By grounding instructional decisions in systematically organized learner data, teachers were able to align lesson planning, remediation, and monitoring practices with actual learner needs. This supports prior research indicating that data-informed pedagogy enhances instructional responsiveness and equity when data are meaningfully interpreted and applied in classroom contexts (Mandinach, 2012; Mandinach & Schildkamp, 2021). In the present case, the use of LIS facilitated a more structured understanding of learners' attendance patterns and learning backgrounds, enabling instruction to move beyond generalized approaches toward more differentiated and needs-based practices.

The leadership role of the Teacher III emerged as a central factor in translating learner data into actionable instructional strategies. Consistent with Datnow and Park (2018), the findings reinforce the idea that data use in schools is not value-neutral but is shaped by leadership practices that frame data as a tool for learning improvement. The Teacher VI acted as a pedagogical mediator, guiding teachers in interpreting learner information and aligning instructional responses accordingly. Similar studies on instructional leadership emphasize that teacher leaders play a critical role in fostering cultures of data use by modeling reflective practice, facilitating collaboration, and linking data analysis with instructional goals (Hallinger, 2011; Spillane, 2006).

Moreover, teacher coordination emerged as a key mechanism through which data-informed practices were sustained. Rather than functioning as an isolated or individual-level system, LIS became embedded within collaborative routines such as shared planning, informal consultations, and collective monitoring of learner progress. This collective approach aligns with research by Schildkamp et al. (2019), which highlights that data use is most effective when it is embedded in collaborative structures that promote shared sense-making and collective responsibility. Through coordinated engagement with learner data, teachers developed a common understanding of instructional priorities, strengthening instructional coherence across classrooms.

However, the challenges identified in the study suggest that data systems alone are insufficient to ensure meaningful pedagogical change. Constraints related to limited time, inadequate infrastructure, and uneven levels of data literacy among teachers echo findings from rural education research emphasizing the structural barriers that affect instructional innovation (Azano & Stewart, 2016). Without sustained professional development and institutional support, data systems risk being reduced to compliance-oriented tools rather than catalysts for instructional improvement. This underscores the need for systemic investments in capacity-building and contextual support to fully realize the pedagogical potential of learner information systems in rural basic education settings.

## **6. Conclusions**

This study provides evidence that Learner Information System-supported instruction can meaningfully enhance pedagogical practice and teacher coordination in rural elementary schools when guided by strong teacher leadership. The findings indicate that LIS contributes to systematic learner profiling, more targeted and responsive instructional planning, and collaborative monitoring of learner progress, all of which strengthen learner-centered teaching practices. When learner data are interpreted through a pedagogical lens, LIS moves beyond its administrative function and becomes a practical tool for improving instructional coherence and addressing diverse learning needs in resource-constrained contexts. To maximize its impact, however, LIS implementation must

be accompanied by clear pedagogical framing, sustained leadership support, and continuous professional development that builds teachers' capacity to analyze and apply learner data effectively.

## 7. Implications and Recommendations

Teachers are encouraged to engage collaboratively with learner data by regularly analyzing Learner Information System (LIS) records and integrating these insights into daily instructional planning. Through shared interpretation of learner profiles, attendance patterns, and performance trends, teachers can design more responsive, differentiated, and learner-centered lessons that address specific learning needs, particularly in rural and multigrade classroom contexts.

For school leadership, it is essential to create enabling conditions that support data-informed pedagogy. School heads and instructional leaders should allocate protected time for collaborative planning and reflection, and provide sustained capacity-building initiatives that strengthen teachers' data literacy and pedagogical use of LIS. Such support helps ensure that learner data is used meaningfully to guide instruction rather than merely to fulfill administrative requirements.

In terms of policy and practice, education authorities may consider strengthening professional development programs that explicitly focus on the pedagogical use of learner data, especially in rural and geographically isolated schools. Policies that recognize LIS as both an administrative and instructional tool can promote more coherent data-informed practices and reduce the gap between data collection and classroom application.

For future research, subsequent studies may involve multiple school sites or adopt mixed-methods research designs to generate broader and more generalizable evidence on the relationship between LIS-supported pedagogy and learner achievement. Longitudinal investigations may also examine how sustained data-informed instructional practices influence learning outcomes over time across diverse rural education contexts.

## References

- Abana, A (2021). A Scrutiny of K-12 Strands and the Learning Program Vis-à-vis its Academic Performance. *Psychology and Education Journal*. 58 (2): 7977-7984
- Andres, A. (2019). Achievement Goals and Mathematics Achievement of the Senior High School Students. *International Journal of English and Education*, 8 (2).
- Andres, A. (2023). Establishing Quality Instrument for the Summative Assessment of Pre-Service Elementary Teachers. *JETT*. 14 (3), 9-16).
- Andres, A.D. (2022). Metacognition and Performance in Mathematical Problem-Solving Among Bachelor of Elementary (BEED) Pre-service Teachers. *Central European Management Journal*, 30 (4). 86-95.
- Antonio, A. (2021). Syntactical Scrutiny: Inaccuracies in the Lesson Planning of Non- Language Pre Service Teachers. *International Journal of Arts, Sciences and Education*,
- Azano, A. P., & Stewart, T. T. (2016). Exploring place and practicing justice: Preparing pre-service teachers for success in rural schools. *Journal of Research in Rural Education*, 31(3), 1-12.
- Balog, P., & Gonzales, E. (2021). From Linguistic Landscapes to Teaching Resources: A Case of Some Rural Areas in the Province of Quezon. *International Journal of Arts, Sciences and Education*, 1(2), 23-44.

Banares, A. J. (2022). Reinterpreting Sonnet 18 by William Shakespeare through Stylistic Analysis. *International Journal of Arts, Sciences and Education*, 3(July Special Issue), 189–204. <https://ijase.org/index.php/ijase/article/view/163>.

Datnow, A., & Park, V. (2018). *Professional collaboration with purpose: Teacher learning for equitable and excellent schools*. Routledge.

Hallinger, P. (2011). Leadership for learning: Lessons from 40 years of empirical research. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 49(2), 125–142. <https://doi.org/10.1108/095782311111116699>

Mandinach, E. B. (2012). A perfect time for data use: Using data-driven decision making to inform practice. *Educational Psychologist*, 47(2), 71–85. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2012.667064>

Mandinach, E. B., & Schildkamp, K. (2021). Misconceptions about data-based decision making in education: An exploration of the literature. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 69, 100842. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2020.100842>

Mulford, B. (2013). Successful school leadership in rural schools. *Australian Journal of Education*, 57(3), 240–254.

Schildkamp, K., Poortman, C. L., Ebbeler, J., & Pieters, J. M. (2019). How school leaders can build effective data teams. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 47(6), 869–891.

Spillane, J. P. (2006). *Distributed leadership*. Jossey-Bass.